



An Efficient SRECRP Protocol for Secure Energy Constraint Routing in MANET

Nithya.S¹, Sivaraja.S² & Sindhu.S³

¹Assistant Professor ECE, KPR Institute of Engineering & Technology, Coimbatore

²Student I ME (CSE), Kathir College of Engineering & Technology, Coimbatore

³Assistant Professor CSE, Kalaivani college of engineering & Technology, Coimbatore

Abstract—MANET consists of mobile platforms have a router with multiple hosts and wireless communications devices. Energy savings and security is the major problem in all kind of the networks. MANET is a network, which is very popular due to its unique characteristics from all the other types of networks. MANET is a network having tiny light weighted nodes, with no clock synchronization mechanisms. Generally, in this type of network the exhaustion of energy will be more and as well, the security is missing due to its infrastructure less nature. Due to the lack of energy, the link failure may occur and the network lifetime also gets affected. Similarly, the node causes cheating during the transmission process in the network. Most MANET routing protocols are vulnerable to attacks that can freeze the whole network. Thus these may affects the performance of the network. To overcome these problems, we propose a new secured energy aware routing algorithm called SRECRP. This algorithm holds two mechanisms. Initially it makes all the active state nodes to sleep when not in use and then finds the energy based efficient path for reliable data transmission. Secondly, provides the security against attacks using a secured mechanism. By simulation based studies, we show that this algorithm effectively provides how its achieves higher security, less energy utilization, less overhead and less end to end delay.

Keywords—SRECRP, Energy, Link failure, MANET, Network, Security.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless ad hoc networks draw lots of attentions in recent years due to its potential applications in various areas. Among the various network architectures, design of the mobile ad hoc networks (MANET) plays an important role. Such a network can either operate in a standalone fashion with the ability of self-configuration and no clock synchronization mechanism. Mobile Ad-hoc networks are self-organizing and self-configuring multi-hop wireless networks where, the structure of the network changes dynamically. No base stations are supported in such an environment, and mobile hosts may have to communicate with each other in a multi-hop fashion. Minimal configuration and fast deployment make MANETs suitable for emergency situations like natural or human-induced disasters and military conflicts. The performance of a mobile ad hoc network mainly depends on the routing scheme.

Our critical issue for almost all kinds of portable devices supported by battery power is power saving. Without power, any mobile device will become useless. Battery power is a limited resource, and it is expected that battery technology is not likely to progress. Hence lengthen the lifetime of the batteries is an important issue, especially for MANET, which is all supported by batteries [1],[2],[3],[4]. The previous energy-efficient algorithms can try to reduce the energy consumption. However while considering minimum energy path, they do not considering the reliability of the links. This may result in low quality of service, less reliable path. When we consider the reliability of the network, energy consumption of the network will be high. Similarly, Security is a more sensitive issue in MANETs than any other networks due to lack of infrastructure and the broadcast nature of the network. The nature of ad hoc networks poses a great challenge to system security designers due to the following reasons: Firstly, wireless network is more susceptible to attacks ranging from passive eavesdropping to active interfering, Trusted Third Party adds the difficulty to deploy security mechanisms, mobile devices tend to have limited power consumption and computation capabilities, finally, node mobility enforces frequent networking reconfiguration which creates more chances for attacks. There are five main security services for MANETs: authentication, confidentiality, integrity, non-repudiation, availability. Among all the security services, authentication is probably the most complex and important issue in MANETs. Several security protocols have been proposed for MANETs, there is no approach fitting all networks, because the nodes can vary between any devices.

In order to overcome these problems, we propose a new secured energy efficient routing algorithm. The main contribution of this paper is in showing how power aware routing must not only be based on node specific parameters (e.g. residual battery energy of the node), but must also consider the link specific parameters (e.g. channel characteristics of the link) as well, to increase the operational lifetime of the network. And also provides the security against route reply attacks using a check sum mechanism. It may also balance the traffic load in the network, while finding the reliable transmission path. Sleep/Active mode approach and Transmission Power Control Schemes are the main two methodologies, which are mainly responsible for considerable energy saving.

II. AN OVERVIEW OF RELATED WORK

Mobile devices coupled with wireless network interfaces will become an essential part of future computing environment consisting of infra-structured and infrastructure-less mobile networks. Wireless local area network based on IEEE 802.11 technology is the most prevalent infra-structured mobile network, where a mobile node communicates with a fixed base station, and thus a wireless link is limited to one hop between the node and the base station. Mobile ad hoc network (MANET) is an infrastructure-less multi hop network where each node communicates with other nodes directly or indirectly through intermediate nodes. Thus, all nodes in a MANET basically function as mobile routers participating in some routing protocol required for deciding and maintaining the routes.

Since MANETs are infrastructure-less, self-organizing, rapidly deployable wireless networks, they are highly suitable for applications involving special outdoor events, communications in regions with no wireless infrastructure, emergencies and natural disasters, and military operations. Routing is one of the key issues in MANETs due to their highly dynamic and distributed nature. In particular, energy efficient routing may be the most important design criteria for MANETs since mobile nodes will be powered by batteries with limited capacity. Power failure of a mobile node not only affect the node itself but also its ability to forward packets on behalf of others and thus the overall network lifetime.

Energy management in wireless networks is very important due to the limited energy availability in the wireless devices. It is important to minimize the energy costs for communication as much as possible by practicing energy aware routing strategies. Based on the observations of signal attenuations, many routing protocols are operated. Energy aware routing algorithm would select a route comprising multiple short distance hops over another one with a smaller hop count but larger hop distances.

The PAMAS (Power aware Multi access protocol with signaling) [5] protocol allows a host to power its radio off when it has no packet to transmit/receive or any of its neighbors is receiving packets, but a separate signaling channel to query neighboring hosts' states is needed.

In PAMAS, [5] they provide several sleep patterns and it allows the mobile nodes to select their sleep patterns based on their battery power. But this needs a special hardware called RAS (Remote Activated Switch. But they biased towards smaller hops typically led to the selection of paths with a very large hop count.

The PARO [6], [7] has proposed for the situation where the networks having the variable transmission energy. This protocol essentially allows an intermediate node to insert itself in the routing path if it detects potential savings in the transmission energy.

Later, Connected-dominated set based power saving protocol is proposed. In which some hosts must as a coordinators, which are chosen according to their remaining battery energies and the numbers of neighbors they can

connect .In this type of network, only coordinators need to awake, other hosts can enter the sleeping mode.

Min-Hop routing is the conventional "energy unaware" routing algorithm, where each link is assigned based on the identical cost. In which it simply selects the routes based upon the number of hops. Less number of hop counts path is considered as a route for transmission of packets. Thus results in less reliability and power wastage. Min Energy routing is another power aware routing algorithm, which simply selects the path corresponding to the minimum packet transmission energy for reliable communication, without considering the battery power of individual nodes. In which the number of hops and delay increases. This results in less energy consumption but with less reliability MMBCR [4] is a power-aware routing algorithm, which selects the path whose critical node has the highest residual battery energy. The node having the battery level, greater than the threshold value is considered as the strongest node. It selects the route with strongest node for packet transmission. Since the MMBCR algorithm never tries to minimize the total transmission energy along a path, it can lead to overall higher energy consumption and consequently, a reduction of the average node lifetime.

CMMBCR[4] algorithm uses the minimum energy path initially, as long as the battery power level on all the nodes in the selected path lies above a certain threshold. Once one or more of nodes on all possible paths falls below this battery protection threshold, the algorithm switches to the MMBCR mode. This results in overall higher energy consumption but provides higher reliability. MRPC (Maximum Residual Packet Capacity) and CMRPC (Conditional MRPC) were proposed. In this power aware routing algorithm it considers residual battery energy of the nodes along with link reliability for route selection. It uses Min-max formulations which is similar to MBCR and MMBCR.

Huaizhi Li and Mukesh Singhal [8] have presented an on-demand secure routing protocol for ad hoc networks based on a distributed authentication mechanism. The protocol has made use of recommendation and trust evaluation to establish a trust relationship between network entities and it uses feedback to adjust it. The protocol does not need the support of a trusted third party and it discovers multiple routes between two nodes. Sec AODV [9] is the one of the protocol that incorporates security features of non-repudiation and authentication, without relying on the availability of a Certificate Authority (CA) or a Key Distribution Center (KDC). They have presented the design and implementation details of their system, the practical considerations involved, and how these mechanisms are used to detect and thwart malicious attacks.

Packet conservation Monitoring Algorithm (PCMA) [10] can be used to detect selfish nodes in MANETs. Though the protocol addresses the issue of packet forwarding attacks, it does not address other threats

Syed Rehan Afzal et al. [11] have explore the security problems and attacks in existing routing protocols and then they have presented the design and analysis of a secure on-demand routing protocol, called RSRP which has confiscated the problems mentioned in the existing

protocols. Moreover, unlike Ariadne, RSRP has used a very efficient broadcast authentication mechanism which does not require any clock synchronization and facilitates instant authentication.

A credit-based Secure Incentive Protocol (SIP) is used to stimulate cooperation in packet forwarding for infrastructure less MANETs. Though the protocol addresses the issue of packet forwarding attacks, it does not address other threats.

III. SRECRP PROTOCOL

MER is an algorithm which provides less energy consumption but results in less reliability. As in case of MMBCR [4] algorithm, results in high reliability but with higher energy consumption. A mobile node consumes its battery energy not only when it actively sends or receives packets but also when it stays idle listening to the wireless medium for any possible communication requests from other nodes. Thus, energy efficient routing protocols minimize either the active communication energy required to transmit and receive data packets or the energy during inactive periods. Our newly proposed secure energy aware algorithm holds two mechanisms: This mechanism deals with the reduction of energy consumption. It makes all the active state nodes to sleep when not in use by means of active sleep state methodology. This Active /sleep state methodology initially categorize the energy as active communication energy and inactive communication energy. The active communication energy was reduced by adjusting the power of the each node to reach only the particular destination and not more than that. The inactive communication energy was reduced by simply turns off the node during the idle case.

This leads to considerable energy savings, especially when the network environment is characterized with low duty cycle of communication activities. Secondly, it will find the route with least cost path based on the reliability and the residual battery energy. This algorithm assumes RREQ (Repeat Request) for reliable packet transmission in each hop. If the packet or its acknowledgement is lost, the sender will retransmit the packet. To formulate this algorithm, assume E be the energy expected by the node to transmit the packets from source to destination.

- E-> Expected Energy to Transmit a Packet
- B-> Total Residual Battery Energy
- R= B-E-> Remaining Residual Battery Energy

The ratio of the fraction of residual battery energy to be consumed to the total residual battery energy (B) gives the link weight. The path with less weight is to be selected. The Link weight is defined as the fraction of the residual battery energy that node i consumes to transmit a packet reliably over (i, j). Link weight is determined using Dijkstra's algorithm.

If the residual energy of the nodes is not considered, then the energy in the best path's node will be consumed more than the other nodes in the network. In this model the consumed energy by a node during packet transmission

consists of two elements. The first element is the energy consumed by the processing part of the transceiver circuit, and the second element is the energy consumed by the transmitter amplifier to generate the required power for signal transmission.

In Ad-hoc network, the packets are transmitted with minimum power, which is required for decoding the packets. In such a situation, TPC (Transmission Power Control) scheme is used. This transmission power control approach can be extended to determine the optimal routing path that minimizes the total transmission energy required to deliver data packets to the destination. In wireless communication transmission power has strong impact on bit error rate, and the inter radio interference. Thus this transmission power control scheme which will adjust the transmission power of the node based on the link distance. If TPC is not present, then the maximum transmission power is utilized. The second approach as follows: This mechanism deals with the security aspects. In order to make our proposed algorithm more secure, a new cryptographic check sum mechanism is used. The proposed algorithm is very effective as it detects the malicious node quickly and it provides security against the attacks. Among all the security services, authentication is probably the most complex and important issue in MANETs. Cryptographic mechanisms make use of a hash code. Hash code does not use a key but is a function only of the input message. The message plus concatenated hash code is encrypted using symmetric encryption.




Fig 1: Basic Security Function

- M-Message
- H-Hash Code
- C-Concatenation
- E-Encryption
- D-Decryption
- K-Secret Key
- COMP-Comparison

The figure indicates that, the message and the hash function are concatenated. Then the concatenated hash code along with the message is encrypted using symmetric key encryption. The bank block indicates the encrypted value of concatenated hash code with message. The Message must be transferred only between the source and the destination using the secret key, thus the data transmission is more secure and has not been altered.

The comparative block predicts the absolute key value with secured message. The hash code provides the structure or redundancy required to achieve authentication. Because encryption is applied to the entire message plus hash code, Confidentiality is also provided.

If in the case of many intermediate states present between the source and the destination, then the security is achieved by means of digital signatures. Thus, our new secure energy aware algorithm with these two mechanisms enhances the routing problem and manages the network resources of achieving fair resources usage across the network node with higher security.

IV. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION:

1. Simulation model:

Consider an ad hoc network in which nodes are uniformly distributed in a square area. In the network, sessions are generated between randomly chosen source-destination nodes with exponentially distributed inter-arrival time. The source node of the session transmits data packets with the constant rate 1 packet/sec. We developed our simulation model using ns 2.34 simulator. NS-2 simulator allows extracting from a simulation many interesting parameters, like throughput, data packet delivery ratio, end-to-end delay and overhead.

To have detailed energy-related information over a simulation, we modified the ns-2 code to obtain the amount of energy consumed over time by type (energy spent in transmitting, receiving, overhearing or in idle state). This way, we obtained accurate information about energy at every simulation time. We used these data to evaluate the protocols from the energetic point of view: we will see the impact of each protocol on different new parameters, like the number of nodes alive over time (to check the lifetime of nodes), the expiration time of connections (to see the network lifetime), and the energy usage divided by type (receiving, transmitting, overhearing).

1.1. Practical Considerations:

The routing protocols for MANET’S are generally categorized as table driven, and on demand driven based on the timing of when the routes are updated. RWMECR algorithm can be implemented with the existing routing protocols for ad hoc networks. Here, we implemented with AODV as the routing protocol. The algorithm performance was compared with the normal AODV protocol. An AODV is an on demand routing protocol that combines the capabilities of both DSR and DSDV protocol. It uses route discovery and route maintenance from DSR and in addition to the hop by hop routing sequence numbers and periodic beacons from Destination-Sequenced Distance vector (DSDV) routing protocol.

AODV is an on demand routing protocol in which routes are discovered only when a source node desires them. Route discovery and route maintenance are two main procedures: The route discovery process involves sending route-request packets from a source to its neighbor nodes, which then forward the request to their neighbors, and so on. Once the route-request reaches the destination node, it responds by uni casting a route-reply packet back to the source node via the neighbor from which it first received the route request. When the route-request reaches an intermediate node that has a sufficiently up-to-date route, it stops forwarding and sends a route-reply message back to the source. Once the

route is established, some form of route maintenance process maintains it in each node’s internal data structure called a route-cache until the destination becomes inaccessible along the route. Note that each node learns the routing paths as time passes not only as a source or an intermediate node but also as an overhearing neighbor node. Our simulation settings and parameters are summarized in table 1

Area Size	1000 X 1000
Simulation time	100 s
Number of Nodes	50
MAC type	MAC 802.11
Traffic Source	CBR
Initial Energy	1000 J
Packet Size	512
Routing Protocol	AODV

Table: 1

1.2 Simulation Results

The following results show the operation of new secure energy aware algorithm. Some parameters like packets received, Energy consumption per packet transmission, end to end latency and packet delivery ratio are analyzed to verify the performance of the new power aware mechanisms. As dealing with the energy aspect, AODV protocol shows good energy efficiency when compared with the all other existing protocols. Here, we compare our algorithm performance with the AODV protocol.

Energy Consumption per packet:

It defines the energy consumed by a node to transmit a packet from source to destination. In the below graph we compared the plain AODV protocol with our new secure energy aware mechanism. By means of new secure energy aware mechanism the power consumed by the node to transmit to the packet was decreased at a higher rate. The energy consumption per packet was decreased as previous. This will highly increases the network life time.




Fig 2: Energy Consumption per Packet

Packet delivery ratio:

Data packet delivery ratio can be calculated as the ratio between the number of data packets that are sent by the

source and the number of data packets that are received by the sink. This is the amount of successful received bits at the destination nodes for the entire simulation period. Packet delivery ratio should be always high for the efficient algorithm or a protocol. The below figure shows the packet delivery ratio was high when compared with the previous methodology.



Figure 3 Packet delivery ratio

End To End Latency:

End-to-end Latency refers to the time taken for a packet to be transmitted across a network from source to destination. End to end latency which includes all possible delays caused by buffering during route discovery time, queuing at the interface queue, retransmission, and processing time. It defines the ratio of interval between the first and the second packets to a total packets delivery. This below figure shows the result of end to end latency. For the good results, the End to End latency will be decreased



Figure 4: End To End Latency

The end to end latency of the new secure energy aware mechanism was highly reduced when compared with normal protocol operations.

Packets Received:

It denotes the amount of packets received by the destination during the simulation. By means of new algorithm, the amount of packets discarded or dropped was reduced. By means of our secure energy aware mechanism, we may increase the amount of packet received, below figure which shows that, the amount of packets received by the destination node with higher security.



Figure 5: Total Packet Received

As dealing with the security aspects, we compare our new secure energy aware algorithm with Sec AODV and RSVP protocol.

Overhead:

The control overhead is defined as the total number of routing control packets normalized by the total number of received data packets. When compared with the other existing protocols, our mechanisms hold less number of overhead packets.




Figure 6: Overhead

Data Delivery:

Packet Delivery Ratio denotes the ratio of total number of packets received at the destination from the source. Whereas the Data Delivery denotes, the overall data information received by the destination with full security. When compared with secure AODV and RSVP, our secure aware algorithm (model AODV) provides higher data delivery.




Figure 7: Data Delivery

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a new secure energy aware routing protocol was proposed called SRECRP. It mainly defines the least cost path based on the reliability and the remaining energy of the node for packet transmission from, source to destination, and making the sleep/active state methodology for providing the energy efficiency. Later this algorithm provides a new cryptographic check sum mechanism to prevent the communications from attackers. By means of these features, we may effectively secure our data's with minimal energy consumption Thus; this algorithm can effectively reduce the energy consumed by the node as well as increases the security and reliability of the network. This in turn increases the operational lifetime and it maintains the load traffic as well.

REFERENCES

- [1]. X.-Y. Li, Y. Wang, H. Chen, X. Chu, Y. Wu, and Y. Qi, "Reliable and energy-efficient routing for static wireless ad hoc networks with unreliable links," *IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst.*, vol. 20, no. 10, pp. 1408–1421, 2009.
- [2]. B. Mohanoor, S. Radhakrishnan, and V. Sarangan, "Online energy aware routing in wireless networks," *Ad Hoc Networks*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 918–931, July 2009.
- [3]. B.Chen , k.Jamieson, H.Balakrishnan, and r.Morris, "span:An energy Efficient coerdination algorithm for topology Maintenance in ad hoc wireless networks", *Proc of the international conference on mobile computing and networking* , pp.85-96,2001.
- [4]. C.k.Toth ,H.cobb and.Scott,"Performance Evaluation of battery life aware routing schemes for wireless adhoc networks", *Proceedings of IEEE ICC'01* ,June 2001.
- [5]. S. Singh and C.S. Raghavendra, "PAMAS-PowerAware Multi-Access Protocol with Signaling for Ad Hoc Networks", *ACMCommunication Review*, July 1998.
- [6]. J. Gomez, A. T. Campbell, M. Naghshineh, and C. Bisdikian, "PARO: supporting dynamic power controlled routing in wireless ad hoc networks," *Wireless Networks*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 443–460, 2003.
- [7]. J. Gomez, A. Campbell, M. Naghshineh and C. Bisdikian, "PARO: A Power-Aware Routing Optimization Scheme for Mobile Ad hoc Networks", draft-gomez-paro manet-00.txt, IETF, Work in Progress, February, 2001
- [8]. Huaizhi Li and Mukesh Singhal, 2006. "A Secure Routing Protocol for Wireless Ad Hoc Networks", in proceedings of *39th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, Vol.9.
- [9]. A. Patwardhan, J. Parker, M. Iorga, A. Joshi, T. Karygiannis and Y. Yesha, 2008. "Thresholdbased intrusion detection in ad hoc networks and secure AODV", Vol.6, No.4, pp.578-599.
- [10]. Tarag Fahad & Robert Askwith, 2006. "A NodebMisbehaviour Detection Mechanism forbMobile Ad-hoc Networks" *The 7th Annual PostGraduate Symposium on the Convergence of Telecommunications, Networking and Broadcasting*
- [11]. Syed Rehan Afzal, Subir Biswas, Jong-bin Koh, Taqi Raza, Gunhee Lee, and Dong-kyoo Kim, 2008. "RSRP: A Robust Secure Routing Protocol for Mobile Ad hoc Networks", *IEEE Conference on Wireless Communications and Networking*, pp.2313-2318.